



Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 D TO LIDAKO24A 07/345,084 04/28/89 11 KATZ REPUBLICAN CONTRACT

GRANT L. HUBBARD 300 SO. HARBOR ELVD., STE. 805 ANAHEIM, CA 92805

Participation of the control of the FRIEDMAN,S

	\$1-71	1.25	i i i i ng teli miningga i ji i 3	
	1 1 1 1 3 2 70 5 4	CALEDATICE Consists of the Consistence of the Consistence of the Consistence of the Consists o	06/26/89	
₽́T	his a	pplication has been examined	This action is made final.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133				
Part I	I	THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
1. 3. 5.		Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-9 Notice of informal Patent Applic		
Part I	ı	SUMMARY OF ACTION		
1.	B		is	
		Of the above, claims are w	ithdrawn from consideration.	
2	П			
	_		have been cancelled.	
3.	Ц		are allowed.	
4.		Claims	rejected.	
5.		Claims	are objected to.	
6.		Claims are subject to restriction	n or election requirement.	
7.		This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.		
8.		Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.		
9.		The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).		
10.	Ö	The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).		
11.		The proposed drawing correction, filed on, has been 🔲 approved. 🗀 disapproved (see explanation).		
12.		Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has 🔲 been received 🔲 not been received		
		□ been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on		
13.		Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
14.		Other		

2

Art Unit 125

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as the disclosure is enabling only for claims limited in accord with the entire document. See MPEP 706.03(n) and 706.03(z).

The claim is too broad (1) in not reciting an amount of active ingredient, (2) in not stating the type of administration (oral, rectal ... etc) and (3) in not stating the recipient after applications.

Friedman:st

A/C 703

557-3920

6/23/89

rimary Examiner